Cortical and subcortical volumes in adolescents with alcohol dependence but without substance or psychiatric comorbidities
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ABSTRACT

Most prior studies of the effects of excessive alcohol intake on the adolescent brain examined alcohol-use-dependent samples with comorbid psychiatric and substance use disorders. In the Cape Town region, we identified a sizeable cohort of adolescents with alcohol use disorders (AUD) without externalizing or other psychiatric disorders. We examined brain morphology in 64 such adolescents compared to age- and gender-matched healthy controls. Magnetic resonance imaging data were analyzed using FSL’s FIRST software for subcortical volumes, and cortical gray matter (GMD) was analyzed using voxel-based morphometry (VBM) and regions of interest (ROI) analysis. AUD boys had smaller thalamic and putamen volumes compared to non-drinking boys, while AUD girls had larger thalamic and putamen volumes compared to non-drinking girls. VBM revealed a large region of decreased GMD density in AUDs compared to controls located in the left lateral frontal, temporal, and parietal lobes, extending medially deep into the parietal lobe. Smaller GMD volume in this region was also present when examined using ROI analysis. Our lack of findings in other brain regions, particularly the hippocampus, suggests that reports of smaller brain volumes in adolescent AUDs in the literature are a consequence of psychiatric and substance abuse comorbidities.

© 2013 Elsevier Ireland Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Alcohol use disorders (AUD, DSM-IV alcohol dependence or abuse) are common amongst adolescents and may pose unique, and serious health consequences. Alcohol is the most widely used intoxicant amongst American adolescents (SAMHSA, 2012). A quarter of young people aged 12–20, surveyed in 2011, reported drinking during the past month, while 15.8% reported past month binge drinking, and 4.4% reported heavy drinking in the past month (SAMHSA, 2012). Additionally, a previous report (SAMHSA, 2007) found that individuals who first drank alcohol before the age of 15 were over 5 times more likely to have an adult AUD than those who began alcohol use after the legal age of 21.

A considerable number of studies have investigated the effects of chronic alcohol abuse on the adult brain. The majority of these studies have demonstrated substantial brain atrophy and neuropsychological impairments in adults with chronic AUDs compared to healthy controls (Fein et al., 2008, 2009a; Fein et al. (2009b) Fein and Mcgillivray, 2007; Sullivan et al., 1995, 2000; Pfefferbaum et al., 1992). These findings suggest chronic alcohol abuse has a deleterious effect on brain structure and function. The adolescent brain is actively developing throughout the teen years and into young adulthood. This development is marked by critical periods of maturation and/or selective pruning in key areas (prefrontal cortex, hippocampus, amygdala) of the brain which may be particularly vulnerable to the effects of alcohol and other substances during adolescence (Crews et al., 2007; Lenroot and Giedd, 2006; White et al., 2000). Thus, previous findings from adult studies may not generalize to the developing adolescent brain.

A few studies have looked specifically at adolescents with AUD in order to investigate the unique effects of alcohol consumption on the developing brain. Adolescents with AUD were found to have smaller hippocampal volumes (Nagel et al., 2005; De Bellis et al., 2000; Medina et al., 2007b) and smaller prefrontal cortical volumes (Medina et al., 2008; De Bellis et al., 2005) when compared to healthy non/low-drinking controls. One study by Squeglia et al. (2012) investigated brain morphology in binge-drinking adolescents,
finding thicker frontal cortices in binge-drinking girls compared to non-drinking girls, and thinner cortices in binge-drinking boys compared with age-, gender-, and family-drinking-history-matched boys. However, the results of these studies must be interpreted with caution. Of the six studies mentioned above, the largest experimental sample size was n=29 (14 girls, 15 boys). Additionally, the effect of alcohol consumption may be biased in these studies because of comorbidity axis I psychiatric disturbances and other substance use. One study in particular, that by Nagel et al. (2005) attempted to control psychiatric comorbidities, yet over a third of their sample had a conduct disorder diagnosis. Similarly, in the study by Squegilia et al. (2012), exclusion criteria specifically states current or past DSM-IV Axis I diagnoses with the exception of conduct disorder, oppositional defiant disorder, and simple phobia, but the diagnosis rate of those disorders within their sample is not reported. These examples further underscore the difficulty in disentangling alcohol’s unique effects from the effects of other substance and psychiatric comorbidities.

To isolate the effects of alcohol abuse on the developing brain, it is important to minimize the confounding effects of comorbidities. The Cape Town region of South Africa is historically a wine-growing region, where a range of risk factors creating vulnerability to hazardous alcohol use in adolescence exists. Alcohol is the most popular abused substance among adolescents in this region (Perry et al., 2004; Pluddemann et al., 2008). Furthermore, surveys in Cape Town schools demonstrate lower incidence of mixed substance abuse than found in the USA (Perry et al., 2004). Although previous studies of adolescents in the Cape Town region show prevalent methamphetamine abuse among school drop-outs (Wechsberg et al., 2010) and associations between substance abuse and psychopathology (Saban et al., 2010), many Cape Town adolescents attend school, exhibit little psychopathology, and are not polysubstance abusers. From this population, we have recruited a community-dwelling (i.e., treatment-naive) adolescent student cohort that is ideal for studies attempting to isolate the effects of alcohol abuse on the developing brain because of the low rates of substance (other than alcohol) use and our ability to recruit a sample with relatively modest externalizing behavioral problems (i.e., not meeting criteria for an adolescent externalizing diagnosis) among heavy drinkers.

In this report, we present the effects of heavy drinking on cortical and subcortical volumes in South African adolescents with AUDs.

2. Methods

2.1. Procedures

All study procedures were approved by the Research Ethics Committee of the Stellenbosch University Faculty of Health Sciences. Participants were screened for eligibility after written informed consent was obtained from volunteers and their parents or guardians. Screening involved detailed medical history-taking, physical and psychiatric examination, and urine analysis and breathalyzer testing, to ensure that the adolescents were not intoxicated during the testing procedures, all performed by a fully qualified and licensed psychiatrist. The Schedule for Affective Disorders and Schizophrenia for School Aged Children—Lifetime Version (K-SADS-PL) ( Kaufman et al., 1997), a semi-structured clinician-rated diagnostic interview, was used to ascertain current and past psychiatric diagnoses, as reported by the participants. The subjects then completed demographic and Childhood Trauma-Short Form questionnaires (Bernstein et al., 2003) and underwent magnetic resonance imaging (MRI). A research assistant was available to assist participants in completing the self-report demographic and early adversity questionnaires. All test materials were available in the participants’ language of preference. Cognitive testing was individually administered. Participants were provided with meals and refreshments, and at the conclusion of the session were compensated for their time with gift vouchers (to the value of ZAR 50 per visit). All study information was kept confidential, except where statutory regulations dictated the reporting of newly identified or ongoing threats to the safety of minor participants. The study protocol and procedures complied with and were conducted in strict adherence to the guidelines contained in the Declaration of Helsinki (World Medical Association, 2008). Full written approval to conduct the study was obtained from the Western Cape Education Department and the Research Ethics Committee of the Stellenbosch University Faculty of Health Sciences.

2.2. Participants

We recruited English- and Afrikaans-speaking adolescents from 19 schools within the Cape Flats region of the greater Cape Town area. All participants were from moderately low socioeconomic backgrounds, residing in permanent housing with potable water and electricity, but mostly without luxury items such as computers and cars. The median gross annual income level per household was ZAR 62 935. The mean age of the entire sample was 14.82 years (±0.78) and subjects had completed 7.82 years (±0.81) of education. Girls (n=70; 54.00%) outnumbered boys (n=58; 45.95%).

Exclusion criteria for study participation were mental retardation, lifetime DSM-IV Axis I diagnoses other than AUD (including depressive, anxiety, psychotic, post-traumatic stress, elimination, eating, tic, attention-deficit/hyperactivity, oppositional defiant, and conduct disorders); lifetime dosages exceeding 30 CNS joints or 3 methamphetamine doses; current use of sedative or psychotropic medication; signs or history of fetal alcohol syndrome or malnutrition; sensory impairment: history of traumatic brain injury with loss of consciousness exceeding 10 min; presence of diseases that may affect the CNS (e.g., meningitis, epilepsy, HIV), less than 6 years of formal education; and lack of proficiency in English or Afrikaans. Additional verbal information regarding the absence of medical, psychiatric, and psychosocial problems was obtained from consenting parents by a social worker at the consent explanation interview.

2.3. Measures

2.3.1. Early adversity

We used the Childhood Trauma Questionnaire—Short Form (CTQ-SF, Bernstein et al., 2003) to measure early adversity. The CTQ-SF is a 28-item retrospective self-report questionnaire comprising five subscales, each of which is aimed at measuring a distinct dimension of childhood mistreatment: physical abuse, sexual abuse, emotional abuse, physical neglect, and emotional neglect. Each type of maltreatment is represented by five items. An additional three-item minimization/denial subscale is included to detect the underreporting of maltreatment. Items on the different options are structured to reflect the frequency of maltreatment experiences (i.e., never true, rarely true, sometimes true, often true, very often true), and are scored from 1 to 5 accordingly. Each of the five primary subscales has demonstrated good internal consistency across a range of heterogeneous samples, including individuals with current or past substance or personality disorders (physical abuse subscale: 0.83 to 0.86; sexual abuse: 0.92 to 0.95; emotional abuse: 0.84 to 0.88; physical neglect: 0.61 to 0.78; emotional neglect: 0.85 to 0.91).

2.3.2. Neuropsychological test performance

A general-purpose neuropsychological test battery was selected. Due to the unavailability of current, culturally appropriate, unbiased South African tests (Foxcroft et al., 2004; Van Ommeren, 2005), age-appropriate international tests with established utility in cross-cultural and multilingual contexts and in substance use disorders studies were selected. Test instructions, stimuli, and response booklets were translated into both English and translated into English by independent translators. In consultation with an Afrikaans linguistic specialist, appropriate cultural and language adaptations were made to the tests. Examples of these adaptations included replacement of items/terminology unfamiliar to South Africans, simplifications of test instructions, and substitution of items to ensure equivalent difficulty levels in both Afrikaans and English. From the battery three composite measures were made: (1) Verbal Story Memory, (2) Self-Monitoring, and (3) Psychomotor Speed and Coordination. The Verbal Story Memory composite included the immediate recall of thematic units of Stories E and F from the Children’s Memory Scale (CMS) (Cohen, 1987), and delayed recognition of thematic units of Stories E and F from the CMS. The Self-Monitoring composite measure was derived from phonemic (letters L, B, and S) and semantic (animal category) fluency error scores (Sousa et al., 2006), the Auditory Verbal Learning Test total error score (Maj et al., 1993), time and rule violation scores from the tower of London (Culbertson and Ziller, 2001), error scores from the Children’s Color Trails Test (Llorente et al., 2003), and error scores from the Stroop Color-Word Test. The Psychomotor Speed and Coordination composite included non-dominant peg insertion time from the Grooved Pegboard Test (Russell and Starkey, 1993) and error scores from the Stroop Color-Word Test (Golden and Fisthwater, 2002). For full description of composite measure computation, see Ferrett et al. (2010).

2.3.3. Substance use

The Timeline Followback (TLFB) procedure (Subell and Sobell, 1992), a semi-structured, clinician-administered assessment of lifetime history of alcohol use and drug use, was used to collaborate with the K-SADS-PL to elicit alcohol use data. A standard drink was defined as one beer or wine cooler, one glass of wine, or one 1.5-oz shot of liquor (alone or in a mixed drink). AUD group
membership was defined by a lifetime dosage in excess of 100 units of alcohol plus a DSM-IV diagnosis of alcohol abuse or dependence. The control group consisted of non-drinkers (who had never consumed alcohol) and light drinkers (lifetime dosage not exceeding 76 units of alcohol), with no history of an AUD.

2.4. Image acquisition

All MRIs were collected on a 3 T Siemens Magnetom Allegra MR Headscaler using Syngo MR software. The scanner is located in the Cape Universities Brain Imaging Center at the Stellenbosch University Faculty of Health Sciences Campus in Stellenbosch, South Africa. The first 58 subjects were randomly assigned to either a conventional T1-weighted SPGR acquisition (TR = 2080 ms, TE = 4.88 ms, acquisition matrix = 256 × 192) at 1.0 mm thickness, or a T2*-weighted SPGR acquisition (TR = 2200 ms, TE = 5.16 ms, acquisition matrix = 256 × 256) at 1.0 mm thickness. Both protocols were used to acquire images in 21 subjects, while the remaining 61 subjects were assessed using the sagittal protocol.

2.5. Image analysis

Of the 140 total participants, we analyzed all alcohol-abusing participants who could be matched with a control participant on age, gender, and structural imaging protocol, resulting in 65 (35 female, 30 male; 31 axial, 33 sagittal acquisition) AUD participants and 64 non-drinking matched controls.

2.5.1. Subcortical structures

FIRST (FMRIB Image Registration and Segmentation Tool), a fully automated method within the FSL (FMRIB Software Library) suite of tools (Woolrich et al., 2009; Patenaude et al., 2007; Smith et al., 2004), was used to delineate subcortical structures and measure their volumes. This method has been used successfully in a number of recent investigations (Cortnon et al., 1997; Riehlert et al., 1997; Markov et al., 1997; Figura et al., 1997, Angstroom et al., 2004; Sameti et al., 2011). The brainstem was excluded because the shape model used in FIRST extended beyond the inferior boundary of the images; left and right volumes were added to create a total volume for each of eight subcortical structures. For participants studied with both MRI acquisition protocols, we estimated the subcortical volumes on both images and computed the Pearson's correlation to assess the comparability of subcortical volumes. All correlation coefficients were significant (P < 0.001) and ranged from r = 0.673 to 0.929. Refer to Sameti et al. (2011) for full description of subcortical structure analysis method.

2.5.2. Voxel-based morphometry

FSL-VBM (Douaud et al., 2006, http://fsl.fmrib.ox.ac.uk/fsl/fslwiki/FSLVBM) was used to create gray matter density images for voxel-wise analysis. First, structural images were brain-extracted and gray-matter segmented before being registered to the MNI-152 standard space using non-linear registration (Andersson and Smith, 2007). The resulting images were averaged and flipped along the x-axis to create a left-right symmetric, study-specific gray matter template. Second, all native gray matter images were non-linearly registered to this study-specific template and "modulated" to correct for local expansion (or contraction) due to the non-linear component of the spatial transformation. The modulated gray matter images were then smoothed with an isotropic Gaussian kernel with a sigma of 10 mm. Voxel-wise statistics were computed using valmap [http://www.mricro.org/projects/valmap], correcting for multiple comparisons across space using permutation testing of suprathreshold clusters above p = 0.01. Maps of gray matter (GM) density were the dependent variables, and factors were group and gender, covaried by head size and acquisition protocol nuisance variables.

2.5.3. Cortical gray matter region of interest

We attempted to corroborate findings from voxel-based morphometry (VBM) by applying region of interest (ROI) masks, corresponding to the GM density clusters of interest, to whole brain GM segmentations created with FAST (FMRIB's Automated Segmentation Tool), a fully automated FSL utility that segments 3D brain images into different tissue types (Zhang et al., 2001). For participants studied with both MRI acquisition protocols, we estimated GM volumes in 23 cortical regions on both images and computed the Pearson's correlation to assess the comparability of GM segmentations. All correlation coefficients were significant (P < 0.002) and ranged from r = 0.632 to 0.963. For each significant or nearly significant VBM cluster, voxels comprising the suprathreshold cluster were set to 1 and saved as a separate mask. The masks were then transformed into each subject's space and applied to the GM segmentations images created by FAST, in order to compute GM volumes within the mask, and confirm that the VBM results were not an artifact of misregistration, where tissue displacement can be mistaken for smaller volume.

2.6. Statistical analysis

Demographic and alcohol use variables were investigated with a multivariate analysis with group and sex as the first factors. The General Linear Model (GLM) (SPSS Inc., 2009) was first used to determine whether subcortical volumes showed a significant positive correlation with the cranial size index, calculated by PSIR's SENAX. The subcortical index has been previously shown to be an excellent surrogate for intracranial vault volume (Fein et al., 2004). Since all eight subcortical volumes were significantly positively correlated with the cranial size index, linear regression was used to adjust each structure volume for the cranial size index. The cortical ROIs were also adjusted for cranial size. Multivariate analyses of variance were used to examine cranial-size-adjusted subcortical and cortical GM volumes, with group, sex, and acquisition protocol used as fixed factors.

Composite neuropsychological measures were computed as described by Ferret et al. (2010), adapted from Medina et al. (2007a), where measures were grouped based on theoretical assumptions (Lezak, 1995). Scores within groupings were standardized and a Cronbach's alpha coefficient was calculated to assess goodness-of-fit for each composite measure. Bivariate correlations were used to assess associations of selected cortical regions and subcortical structures, with early childhood adversity, neuropsychological test performance, and measures of alcohol use.

3. Results

3.1. Demographics, substance use, trauma, and cognition

Table 1 presents demographics, substance use, trauma measures, and cognitive scores for adolescents with AUD and non-alcohol controls (NAC). There were no significant group or gender differences in age, education, language, body mass index, or handedness. Smoking was more prevalent among the AUD adolescents. Although a higher percentage of AUD adolescents had tried cannabis compared to controls, on average those who had tried cannabis had smoked only seven joints total. One AUD adolescent had tried methamphetamine a single time, another AUD adolescent had tried methamphetamine twice, no NAC adolescent had tried methamphetamine. In our sample, there was no report of use of cocaine, opioids, PCP, hallucinogens, inhalants, stimulants other than methamphetamine, or other drugs (including prescription drugs). AUD boys suffered from more physical abuse (P < 0.01) and sexual abuse (P < 0.01) than NAC boys, AUD girls, or NAC girls. There were no other differences in trauma exposure between AUD and NAC groups. AUD adolescents showed impaired memory as indexed by lower scores on the Verbal Story Memory composite (P < 0.01), and worse self-monitoring as indexed by higher scores on the Self-Monitoring composite (P < 0.01).

3.2. Subcortical structures

Table 2 presents the results obtained through FIRST. The majority of the difference in cranial vault size between subjects was accounted for by gender differences. A multivariate analysis of subcortical GM volumes with group and sex as fixed factors failed to show an effect of group or sex for any of the eight subcortical structures (P > 0.05). The lack of group findings did not change when scanning protocol was included as a fixed factor. There were two significant group by sex interactions, the thalamus (F = 4.334, df = 1, P = 0.039, etasq = 3.43%) where boys with AUD had smaller volumes than NAC boys while girls with AUD had greater volume than NAC girls, and the putamen (F = 10.63, df = 1, P = 0.001, etasq = 7.97%) where boys with AUD had smaller volumes than NAC boys while girls with AUD had greater volumes than NAC girls. The thalamus and putamen volumes for AUD and NAC boys and girls are shown in the left scatterplot of Fig. 1.

3.3. Voxel-based morphometry

Table 3 summarizes significant and nearly significant clusters from the VBM analysis. A significant cluster of lower GM density
Table 1
Demographics, substance use, trauma measures, and cognitive scores for adolescents with alcohol use disorders (AUD) and non-alcoholic controls (NAC).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>NAC (n=64)</th>
<th>AUD (n=64)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Girls (n=35)</td>
<td>Boys (n=29)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>14.64 (0.75)</td>
<td>14.79 (0.78)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education (years)</td>
<td>7.83 (0.86)</td>
<td>7.62 (0.82)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% African-American</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body mass index (kg/m²)</td>
<td>22.06 (4.86)</td>
<td>21.66 (5.02)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Right-handed</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Never drank alcohol</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age at first drink (years)</td>
<td>12.15 (1.57)</td>
<td>12.00 (1.75)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td># Drinks/lifetime</td>
<td>8.34 (16.94)</td>
<td>3.07 (5.15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age first intoxication (years)</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regular drinking onset (age)</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drinking duration (mo)</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td># Drinks/week</td>
<td>57.50 (45.47)</td>
<td>65.90 (50.05)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Non-smoker</td>
<td>60.0</td>
<td>62.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% 100 cigarettes</td>
<td>34.3</td>
<td>31.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% 100 Cigarettes</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>6.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Tried methamphetamine</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td># Methamphetamine doses</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Tried cannabis</td>
<td>8.6</td>
<td>13.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td># joints smoked</td>
<td>1.8 (0.4)</td>
<td>1.3 (1.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CTO-physical abuse</td>
<td>5.86 (3.09)</td>
<td>5.90 (1.36)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CTO-sexual abuse</td>
<td>5.87 (2.49)</td>
<td>5.87 (2.49)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CTO-emotional abuse</td>
<td>5.87 (2.49)</td>
<td>5.87 (2.49)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CTO-total score</td>
<td>5.87 (2.49)</td>
<td>5.87 (2.49)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Verbal story memory</td>
<td>0.20 (0.02)</td>
<td>0.48 (0.09)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Self-monitoring</td>
<td>-0.19 (0.41)</td>
<td>-0.42 (0.45)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Psychomotor speed and coordination</td>
<td>-0.18 (0.83)</td>
<td>0.13 (0.87)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2
Mean volumes (mm³) of subcortical structures and cortical regions of interest.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th>NAC (n=64)</th>
<th>AUD (n=64)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Girls (n=35)</td>
<td>Boys (n=29)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lateral ventricles</td>
<td>13377 (4495)</td>
<td>10601 (3872)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thalamus*</td>
<td>15920 (887)</td>
<td>16502 (819)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caudate</td>
<td>7347 (894)</td>
<td>7330 (786)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Putamen*</td>
<td>9237 (878)</td>
<td>80088 (7810)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Globus pallidus</td>
<td>3439 (598)</td>
<td>3579 (265)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hippocampus</td>
<td>6491 (540)</td>
<td>6474 (678)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amygdala</td>
<td>2208 (732)</td>
<td>2098 (398)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nucleus accumbens</td>
<td>816 (144)</td>
<td>780 (185)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left fronto/parietal**</td>
<td>8463 (1566)</td>
<td>9267 (1105)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left dorso/lateral prefrontal</td>
<td>6737 (777)</td>
<td>8699 (1078)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right dorso/lateral prefrontal</td>
<td>3882 (420)</td>
<td>4006 (564)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* AUD Boys: NAC Boys, AUD Girls: NAC Girls, P < 0.05.
** AUD Boys: NAC, P < 0.005.

was in the AUDs compared to the controls (P < 0.025). As shown in Fig. 2, this region includes the left temporal cortex, extending into the left frontal and parietal cortex. This region comprises 2865 voxels (22.920 mm³) with an average 12.5% smaller GM density due to alcohol. There were also two smaller clusters showing a trend toward lower GM density in the AUDs compared to the controls (P < 0.01). These clusters were located in the left and right dorso- and ventro-lateral prefrontal cortex (the left cluster can be seen in Fig. 1). Scatterplots of the average GM density within these three clusters for all subjects are shown in Fig. 1.

There was a significant effect of gender, with females showing 9-13% lower GM density than the males in several regions of the brain, including the left lateral frontal cortex, the right anterior temporal cortex, the left anterior orbitofrontal cortex, and the left temporal/parietal cortex. These clusters are described in detail in Table 3, and Fig. 2 shows the left temporal/parietal cluster. Of note,
Fig. 1. The subcortical volumes for the thalamus and putamen for each subject are presented on the left, grouped by alcohol status and sex, showing that AUD girls had larger volumes and AUD boys had smaller volumes. The average GM density within the left temporal/parietal/frontal, left lateral frontal, and right lateral frontal clusters is shown for each participant on the right, grouped by alcohol status, illustrating the generally smaller GM density in the AUD subject of each pair matched on age, sex, and acquisition protocol.

Table 3
Cluster characteristics from VBM analysis.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Independent variable</th>
<th>□ voxels</th>
<th>Cluster volume (mm³)</th>
<th>Corrected cluster P-value</th>
<th>Estimated effect (%)</th>
<th>Location</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Group</td>
<td>2865</td>
<td>22920</td>
<td>P &lt; 0.005</td>
<td>-12.5</td>
<td>Left temporal extending into frontal and parietal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1372</td>
<td>10576</td>
<td>P = 0.1</td>
<td>-11.8</td>
<td>Left dorso- and ventro-lateral</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1267</td>
<td>10136</td>
<td>P = 0.1</td>
<td>-12.5</td>
<td>Right dorso- and ventro-lateral</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td>2347</td>
<td>18726</td>
<td>P &lt; 0.05</td>
<td>-12.8</td>
<td>Left lateral frontal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2081</td>
<td>16648</td>
<td>P &lt; 0.05</td>
<td>-11.1</td>
<td>Right anterior</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1896</td>
<td>15168</td>
<td>P &lt; 0.05</td>
<td>-8.7</td>
<td>Left temporal and parietal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1319</td>
<td>10592</td>
<td>P = 0.1</td>
<td>-11.7</td>
<td>Left orbitofrontal cortex</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A negative group effect denotes smaller GM density in AUD vs. controls. A negative gender effect denotes smaller GM density in females vs. males.

Fig. 2. T-statistic maps showing voxels within significant clusters (corrected P < 0.1) are overlaid on the MNI-152 T1-weighted images. The top row shows voxels with smaller GM density in AUD compared to controls and the bottom row shows voxels with smaller GM in females compared to males.
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the left temporal/parietal cortex cluster overlaps the alcohol cluster, so that the left temporal cortex in females with AUD has lower GM density than males with AUD. The group by gender interaction was not significant.

3.4. Cortical gray matter ROI

Cortical gray matter volumes of the left frontal/temporal/parietal region, left dorso- and ventro-lateral prefrontal region, and right dorso- and ventro-lateral prefrontal region, as defined by the significant VBM clusters, were examined with a multivariate analysis of variance using group and sex as fixed factors. The mean volumes of each ROI are shown in Table 2. The cortical GM volumes corresponding to the left frontal/temporal/parietal region showed smaller volumes in AUD participants compared to controls (F=10.11, df=1, P=0.002, etα²=7.5%). There was also a significant effect of sex in this region where boys had greater volumes than girls (F=8.45, df=1, P=0.004, etα²=6.4%), even after correcting for cranium size. Neither of the other two regions had significant group or sex effects. The findings were not affected when scanning protocol was included in the analysis as a fixed factor. There were no significant group by sex interactions.

3.5. Subcortical structures revisited

The VBM and cortical ROI analyses showed regions of decreased volume in AUDs compared to controls, primarily localized in the left hemisphere. Taking this into consideration, we reexamined the subcortical data looking specifically at the left hemisphere for each subcortical structure for group differences. There were no significant effects of alcohol revealed for any of the eight left hemispheric subcortical structures (P>0.1) when compared across gender with group, sex, and protocol used as fixed factors.

3.6. Effects of smoking

We performed multivariate analyses to separate the effects of smoking from those of alcohol using VBM and ROI statistics in the AUD group only, but found that there were no significant associations between GM volumes and smoking status using either of these methods.

3.7. Morphology associations with childhood adversity, neuropsychological performance, and alcohol use variables

Within the AUD participants, Pearson's correlations were used to investigate the association of average GM density within the significant clusters with early childhood adversity, neuropsychological test performance, and measures of alcohol use. Higher GM density in the left temporal/frontal/parietal region was associated with worse psychomotor performance (r=−0.29, P=0.02) and more self-monitoring behaviors (r=−0.33, P=0.01). Lower GM density in the left dorso- and ventro-lateral frontal region was associated with a higher average number of monthly drinks (r=−0.35, P=0.005), with a similar but less significant association in the right lateral frontal region (r=−0.21, P=0.09). GM density in these regions was not associated with any trauma measure.

4. Discussion

The main findings of this study were (1) significantly smaller thalamus and putamen volume in AUD boys compared to NAC boys; (2) significantly larger thalamus and putamen volume in AUD girls compared to NAC girls; (3) no significant differences between groups (or between groups separately within girls or boys) in any other subcortical volume measure, regardless of whether the left and right sides were aggregated or analyzed separately; and (4) a significant VBM cluster of decreased GM density (average of 12.5%) in AUD compared to controls including parts of the left lateral frontal, parietal and temporal cortex, a finding that is also present when examined in an ROI-based GLM analysis.

Animal, neuroimaging, and neuropsychological studies have shown the hippocampus to be particularly sensitive to drug and alcohol neurotoxicity. Previous studies have shown smaller hippocampal volumes in AUD adolescents (De Bellis et al., 2000, 2005; Medina et al., 2007b; Nagel et al., 2005). However, the AUD samples studied had psychiatric (especially externalizing) comorbidity. We did not find any evidence of smaller hippocampal volumes in our AUD sample. We note that (1) our AUD sample was larger than those of these other studies (n=14 for Nagel et al., n=12 for de Bellis, n=16 AUD for Medina, with n=26 AUD+Marijuana also in Medina et al.); (2) alcohol use in our AUD sample was greater than that in the other studies; and (3) our subjects showed a binge-drinking pattern at least as severe as in the other studies. The major factor separating our study from the others is the sample — we studied excessive alcohol use in adolescence in the absence of predisposing psychiatric (including externalizing) disorder and in the absence of comorbid drug abuse. Our study raises the issue of whether smaller hippocampal volumes in adolescents with AUD are a consequence of these predisposing and comorbid factors rather than alcohol abuse per se. Consistent with this, our failure to find amygdala volume differences between AUD and control samples is consistent with a model wherein smaller amygdala volumes represent a vulnerability factor for addiction (including alcoholism) (Hill, 2004; Koob, 1999).

We observed significantly smaller GM density in the left frontal/temporal/parietal region in a VBM analysis, and confirmed this result in an ROI analysis in the same area. The GM density in this region was not related to any alcohol variable, and therefore probably does not reflect damage due to alcohol per se. Within AUD, larger frontal/temporal/parietal GM density was associated with higher psychomotor and self-monitoring scores, which was not intuitive as higher scores on these measures imply worse performance (e.g., slower reaction times, more self-monitoring behaviors), and one might reasonably expect higher GM to improve cognition. In a study of binge-drinking adolescents, Squigalia et al. (2012) found that thicker frontal cortex was associated with poor performance in several cognitive domains, another counter-intuitive example. It may be that in these adolescent samples, regions of higher GM density or a thicker cortex index an alcohol-related delay in selective pruning, with consequent poor cognitive performance.

A trend to smaller GM density in the right and left lateral frontal regions was also observed, but smaller volumes were not confirmed by the ROI analysis. However, within AUD, smaller volumes of the lateral frontal regions were associated with higher alcohol consumption, so this trend to smaller volumes is more likely to be a direct consequence of alcohol use.

In the current study, comorbid DSM I disorders and substance dependence were exclusionary criteria whereas in the United States studies of AUD adolescents, comorbid psychiatric (e.g., major depressive disorder, post-traumatic stress disorder, attention deficit hyperactivity disorder, conduct disorder, oppositional defiant disorder, generalized anxiety disorder) and substance use disorders were the norm. It is easy to attribute the different results in the current study to this lack of psychiatric and substance use disorder comorbidity. It would be easier to support this conclusion were we to find effects similar to the U.S. studies in South Africa adolescent AUD samples with such comorbidities.
Moreover, both AUD and control adolescents in this study had experienced substantial trauma, had low socioeconomic status (SES), and a substantial number were cigarette smokers. It is possible that exposure to trauma, low SES, and smoking may have resulted in smaller brain volumes within the Cape Town samples (both AUD and controls), such that the additional effect of alcohol on brain volume in the AUD adolescents was small, perhaps reaching a floor. Further study is necessary to assess these possible contributing factors.

Several limitations should be noted. First, the analysis of the effects of smoking had relatively low power. Only 15% of the AUD participants were non-smokers, so smoking status and AUD status were highly collinear, compromising the ability to detect any additional effect on brain volumes due to smoking. So while our findings suggest that the level of smoking did not impact the group findings, we cannot rule out that smoking contributes to smaller brain volumes.

Second, there are possible problems of cross-cultural adaptations/translations of the neuropsychological battery used in this study that may have affected our ability to assess the cognition of our participants. Few tests are developed for the South African population and language groups. Although one may argue that translating the instructions and materials may create compatibility issues, the materials were carefully translated and then back-translated by local linguists who have a good sense of local language nuances. All things considered, we believe that adapting the tests to suit our population allows for a closer approximation of ability versus using tests specifically developed for South Africa. Importantly, we did not use United States norms in scoring the tests. A strength of our study, then, is that the neuropsychological results are valid in a South African population, although we cannot make a direct comparison to US populations. Despite this, previous work using this battery was able to detect poor performance of AUD adolescents compared to controls, supporting the validity of the approach undertaken (Ferrett et al., 2010).

Third, the images in this study were acquired using two different MRI acquisition protocols. The MRI data collected at the beginning of our study, acquired using a transaxial acquisition without complete blood saturation, had good GM/WM contrast and were easily segmented by the FSL segmentation programs. Despite the adequacy of these images, when we recognized that we could acquire better images, we decided to switch acquisition protocols if we could determine that the segmentation outputs were comparable. We acquired both protocols on 22 subjects and examined the correlation between protocols for multiple subcortical and cortical volumes, all of which were highly correlated. Out of an abundance of caution, however, each AUD participant was matched to a control participant that had been studied using the same MRI protocol. In addition, we included a protocol factor in our statistical analyses to account for systematic volume differences due to acquisition. In this way, any effect of protocol on the brain volumes would be balanced between groups and accounted for statistically. Although it is still possible that the two acquisition protocols contributed to the absence of alcohol effects on most subcortical and cortical regions, we believe that any acquisition effect is minimal and has been accounted for.

Lastly, this was a cross-sectional study, which cannot address the long-term neural effects of alcohol exposure during adolescence, and which does not allow causal inferences about the associations noted here to be drawn. At the same time, this study is strengthened by the focus on subjects with relatively minimal comorbid substance use and externalizing psychopathology. During adolescence, there is significant neuroplasticity, and it is possible that this provides some resilience against alcohol-induced neural damage. At the same time, findings in individuals with comorbid conditions may reflect the combined effects of the comorbidity and the effects of the comorbidity on an individual's vulnerability to the neurotoxic effects of excessive alcohol use.
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